Prevalence and genetic correlation evaluation of dental fluorosis in rural population of Nagpur, India
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Abstract
Dental fluorosis (DF) is a tooth malformation related to ingestion of high amount of fluoride (F) during tooth development. According to various surveys (1994, 2000 & 2002), it is clearly established that DF has increased incidences rates in the areas with fluoridated water ranging from 7.7% to 80.9% and only 2.9% to 42% in areas without fluoridated water. It was being observed that 10-20% of the rural population reported to department with dental fluorosis belonged to non-fluoridated areas. Several studies suggested that there was a weak correlation between drinking water & DF severity.

Aim: The present study was undertaken to investigate prevalence of dental fluorosis and hereditary patterns of dental fluorosis in identified individuals among rural population in vicinity of Nagpur district.

Materials and Methods: A case control study was conducted at six rural places around Nagpur. The fluoride content was estimated from sample of drinking water from well and tap. 384 individuals were selected using systematic random sampling method. A detailed case history was taken followed by reporting of DMFT and DMFS indices. The collected data was analyzed statistically using students’ t test.

Results: All the water samples tested reported F concentration varying with 0.267 to 1.081 mg/ml. Prevalence of DF for individuals consuming well water (62.1%) was found to be significantly higher (p<0.05) compared to tap water (4.7%). The individuals with history of DF (50%) had significantly higher prevalence (p<0.05) of fluorosis as compared to negative family history (15%).

Conclusion: There was no correlation with DF and F concentration in drinking water; however, its strong correlation exists with genetic component.
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Introduction
Dental fluorosis (DF) is a tooth malformation produced by chronic ingestion of fluoride (F) during tooth development that primarily affects enamel but can also affect dentin. However, dental fluorosis is a reflection of fluoride exposure only during the time of enamel formation. Fluorosis is a result of destruction of metabolic calcium & phosphorus, leading to inhibition of active enzymatic process in human body, which interrupts the function of endocrine system leading to fluorosis. Major Psychological impact on persons self-esteem National Institute of Mental Health. Several animal and epidemiological studies have demonstrated that genetics plays a key role DF severity.

Current status in India: Most severe problem associated with high F water occurs in China, India, Sri Lanka & Africa. Maximum permissible limit 1.5 to 1.0 ppm for India (WHO 1998). In Vidarbha region of Maharashtra, Nagpur, Chandrapur, Gondia, Amravati & Gadchiroli reported F concentration of 1.51-4.01 mg/L in ground water. DF has increased in areas with fluoridated water by 7.7-80.9% and 2.9-42% in non-fluoridated areas 10-20% of the rural population reported to our department with DF belonged to non-fluoridated areas. Several studies suggested that there was a weak co-relationship between drinking water & DF severity. The present study was undertaken to investigate prevalence of dental fluorosis and hereditary patterns of dental fluorosis in identified individuals among rural population in vicinity of Nagpur district. The objectives of the study were to estimate the F level in drinking water sources (tap & well), to study the distribution of DF by source of water (tap & well) and to study the hereditary patterns of DF in identified individuals, if any.

Materials and Methods
A survey was conducted at six rural places around Nagpur. Sample of drinking water from well and tap was collected for estimation of fluoride content. Patients were screened and 384 individuals were selected using systematic random sampling method. A detailed case history was taken followed by examination. DMFT and DMFS indices were recorded. The collected data was analyzed statistically using students t test.

Water samples from tap and well water were collected for estimation of pH and fluoride concentration using ion exchange method at NEERI, Nagpur. The data collected was statistically analyzed using Chi square test.

Results
All the water samples tested reported F concentration varying with 0.267 to 1.081 mg/ml. Prevalence of DF for individuals consuming well water (62.1%) was found to be significantly higher (p<0.05) compared to tap water (4.7%). The individuals with history of DF (50%) had significantly higher prevalence (p<0.05) of fluorosis as compared to negative family history (15%). [Table 1, 2, 3]
Table 1: Estimation of Fluoride Concentration and pH of Water

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Place</th>
<th>Fluoride concentration (mg/l)</th>
<th>pH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tap water</td>
<td>Well water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sillewada</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gondkhairi</td>
<td>1.631</td>
<td>0.808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khapa</td>
<td>1.578</td>
<td>0.548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Badegaon</td>
<td>0.572</td>
<td>0.267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kelwad</td>
<td>1.081</td>
<td>0.643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waki</td>
<td>0.847</td>
<td>0.831</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Oral Hygiene Habits and Fluorosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oral hygiene practice</th>
<th>Fluorosis</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No habits</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kharra</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paan</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gutkha</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>319 (83.5%)</td>
<td>63 (16.5%)</td>
<td>382 (100%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: DMFT, DMFS index and Fluorosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Fluorosis</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DMFT</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>1.887</td>
<td>1.99838</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>1.8095</td>
<td>1.41258</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMFS</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>3.6426</td>
<td>6.35116</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>3.0635</td>
<td>3.67587</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

Vary widely between different geographic locations having almost same F concentration in drinking water. Increases with fluoride concentration in drinking water & duration of exposure.11,12 Dissolved salts in drinking water, nutrition and habits also affect DF. Certain ethnic groups are more susceptible to DF.13

In normal situations during presecretory tomes process secretes a secretary vesicle containing amelogenin. At neutral pH these amelogenins form nanospheres that adhere to the growing crystals and foster its growth length and this generates protons. Amelogenins bind and neutralize protons. Whereas with fluorotic conditions there is disruption of secretary amelogenesis. F primarily accelerates crystal growth in thickness shown by hypermineralized line. This enhances proton production which cannot be buffered by available amelogenins. Thus the nanosphere disaggregates and detach from the crystal surface. This newly secreted matrix will not foster crystal growth till neutral pH is restored which is represented by hypomineralized line. In genetic study by Lee et al has demonstrated that F includes elevation of F-actin in ameloblasts, which alters the cytoskeleton interfering Rho signaling pathway (Fincham et al 1995). Excessive F ingestion replaces the apatite structure thereby converting it to fluorapatite making the tooth discolored and brittle.

The present study deals with the prevalence of DF in non fluoridated five rural places of Nagpur district. The water samples revealed F concentration & pH of water within normal limits. Overall 382 participants from 1,910 rural residents ranging from 12 to 40 years were screened.

The overall prevalence of DF was 16.5%. The prevalence of fluorosis amongst individuals with positive family history (75%) was significantly higher (p<0.05). The results of our study were analogous to the findings of Clark DC(1994) who studied trends in prevalence of DF in North America. Pendrys DG(2000) found 65% cases with mild to moderate enamel fluorosis in non fluoridated populations due to fluoride supplementation. Whereas remaining 34% has brushed during first two years of life using F dentrifices and more recommended quantity of paste. In addition Pendrys DG(2000) also found 65% cases with mild to moderate enamel fluorosis in non fluoridated populations due to fluoride supplementation under pre-1994 protocol. Whereas remaining 34% has brushed for more than two times a day during first two years of life using F dentrifices and some using more than pea sized tooth paste during first year of life.

However in our study, this factor was excluded as most of the rural population was deprived of the use F supplements and F containing dentrifices. There was no significant difference between the groups (with and without DF) with DMFT & DMFS scores. This reflects that there is some genetic component which plays a role in etiology of fluorosis. Coincidently there was a significantly higher prevalence of fluorosis among kharra (36.1%) & gutkha (25%) chewers as compared to non-chewers (p<0.05).
Genetics and fluorosis. DF and polymorphism in COL1A2 gene among Chinese children. 14 95% of DF occurs strong heritability components. AJ strain more susceptible than P3/J strain to DF. 15

The Future prospectus of the study is further analytical studies needs to be conducted.

Fluoridated areas should also be studied for further comparison and complete region should be studied.

Conclusion
1. The F content & pH of water was found to be within permissible limits.
2. The prevalence of DF amongst individuals with positive family history (75%).
3. There was no correlation with DF and F concentration in drinking water, however its strong correlation exists with genetic component.
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